



Security Council

Distr.
GENERAL

S/AC.26/2002/29
3 October 2002

Original: ENGLISH

UNITED NATIONS
COMPENSATION COMMISSION
GOVERNING COUNCIL

NINETEENTH REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY PURSUANT TO
ARTICLE 41 OF THE PROVISIONAL RULES FOR CLAIMS PROCEDURE

Introduction

1. The present report identifies, pursuant to article 41 of the United Nations Compensation Commission's (the "Commission") Provisional Rules for Claims Procedure (S/AC.26/1992/10) (the "Rules"), recommended corrections in the various claims categories since the "Eighteenth report of the Executive Secretary pursuant to article 41 of the Provisional Rules for Claims Procedure" (S/AC.26/2002/20) (the "eighteenth article 41 report"). Chapter I of this report contains recommended corrections concerning claims in categories "A" and "C", where the Panels of Commissioners have concluded their work. Chapter II contains recommended corrections concerning claims in category "D", where the Panels of Commissioners continue their work. Finally, Chapter III of this report provides information concerning requests by claimants for corrections to approved awards under article 41 of the Rules, including a report of the secretariat's review to determine whether or not these requests warrant action under article 41.

I. RECOMMENDED CORRECTIONS CONCERNING CLAIMS IN CATEGORIES "A" AND "C"

A. Category "A" corrections

2. Recommendations for corrections to category "A" claims include the following kinds of corrections: reinstatement of claims previously identified as duplicates; individual to family; lower to higher amounts; and correction of claimant information.

1. Reinstatement of claims previously identified as duplicates

3. One claim from Pakistan and one claim from Egypt erroneously identified as duplicates should be reinstated since additional information received from those Governments demonstrates that the claims are not in fact duplicates.

4. Accordingly, as set forth in table 1 below, it is recommended that the awards for these claims be corrected. Table 1 identifies the countries concerned, the instalments to be adjusted, the number of claims affected, and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 1. Category "A" corrections: reinstatement of claims previously identified as duplicates

<u>Country</u>	<u>Instalment</u>	<u>Number of claims affected</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Pakistan	Fifth	1	2,500.00
Egypt	Fourth	1	2,500.00
<u>Total</u>		2	5,000.00

2. Individual to family

5. One claim from France, two claims from the Philippines, one claim from Pakistan and 19 claims from the Russian Federation, were incorrectly awarded individual claim amounts because the information relating to family members had mistakenly not been entered on the computer disks submitted to the Commission. The awards for these claims should be increased to the amounts appropriate to the proper status of the claims.

6. Accordingly, as set forth in table 2 below, it is recommended that the award amounts for these claims be corrected. Table 2 identifies the countries concerned, the instalments to be adjusted, the number of claims affected, and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 2. Category "A" corrections: individual to family

<u>Country</u>	<u>Instalment</u>	<u>Number of claims affected</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
France	Sixth	1	2,500.00
Philippines	Fourth	1	4,000.00
	Sixth	1	2,500.00
Pakistan	First	1	4,000.00
Russian Federation	First	7	28,000.00
	Fourth	6	24,000.00
	Fifth	2	8,000.00
	Sixth	4	16,000.00
<u>Total</u>		23	89,000.00

3. Lower to higher amounts

7. Upon review of original paper claim formats submitted by the Governments of Yemen and Bahrain, pursuant to a request for correction by the concerned Governments, it was confirmed that 28 claimants had selected the higher amounts of compensation on the paper claim formats while lower amounts had erroneously been entered in the electronic claim formats submitted to the Commission. The awards for these claims should, therefore, be increased to the amounts appropriate to the proper status of the claims.

8. Accordingly, as set forth in table 3 below, it is recommended that the award amounts for these claims be corrected. Table 3 identifies the countries concerned, the instalments to be adjusted, the number of claims affected, and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 3. Category "A" corrections: lower to higher amounts

<u>Country</u>	<u>Instalment</u>	<u>Number of claims affected</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Bahrain	First	2	3,000.00
	Second	2	6,000.00
Yemen	Fourth	3	7,500.00
	Fifth	20	42,000.00
	Sixth	1	1,500.00
<u>Total</u>		28	60,000.00

4. Correction of claimant information

9. A data entry error occurred in the course of entering the claimant information for two claims from Yugoslavia into the Commission's database, making it impossible for award proceeds to be transferred to these individuals. Following receipt of a notification from the Government of Yugoslavia, the secretariat corrected the information in the Commission's database. This correction does not affect the award amounts approved by the Governing Council for the two claims, but will permit the Commission's Claims Payment Section to effect payment of the awards.

5. Summary

10. The recommended corrections related to award amounts in category "A" concern 53 claims submitted by seven Governments resulting in a net increase in the total amount awarded of USD 154,000.00. The recommendations for the first, second, fourth, fifth and sixth instalments of category "A" claims, by country and instalment, are provided in tables 1 to 6 of annex I to this report.

B. Category "C" corrections

11. Recommendations for corrections to category "C" claims are those arising from confirmed duplicate claims and discrepancies between the electronic and paper claim formats.

1. Duplicate Claims

12. The Government of India notified the Commission that two claims that were awarded compensation in the sixth and seventh instalments were submitted by the same individual. A review of the claim files confirmed that the claims were indeed duplicate claims. Consequently, no compensation should have been awarded for the claim in the sixth instalment.

13. Accordingly, as set forth in table 4 below, it is recommended that the award for this claim be corrected. Table 4 identifies the country concerned, the instalment to be adjusted, the number of claims affected, and the net affect of the adjustment.

Table 4. Category "C" correction: duplicate claim

<u>Country</u>	<u>Instalment</u>	<u>Number of claims affected</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
India	Sixth	1	(6,089.97)
<u>Total</u>		1	(6,089.97)

2. Corrections arising from discrepancies between the electronic and paper claim formats

14. The secretariat continued to review substantive queries and requests for corrections submitted by Governments. During the period under review, the Commission reviewed 17 category "C" claims pursuant to requests for corrections. From those reviews, corrections are recommended in respect of five category "C" claims relating to award amounts.

15. The individual loss elements for which recommendations for correction to award amounts are made in this report include claims for mental pain and anguish arising from hostage-taking or illegal detention for less than three days (“C1-MPA for HT/ID<3 days”), personal property losses (“C4-CPHO”), employment related losses (“C6-Salary”), real property losses (“C7-Real property”) and individual business losses (“C8-Business”). Discrepancies between the electronic and paper claim formats have been determined to be the result of clerical errors, and include the omission of losses from the electronic claim format or inaccurate entries of amounts that adversely affected the outcomes for the claimants. Summaries of the category “C” Panel’s approved recommendations relevant to losses for which corrections are recommended are found in the “Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the seventh instalment of individual claims for damages up to US\$100,000 (category ‘C’ claims)” (S/AC.26/1999/11) as follows: (a) paragraphs 96 to 99 for C1-MPA for HT/ID<3 days; (b) paragraphs 178 to 199 for C4-CPHO; (c) paragraphs 249 to 299 for C6-Salary; (d) paragraphs 300 to 326 for C7-Real property; and (e) paragraphs 327 to 368 for C8-Business.

16. Accordingly, as set forth in table 5 below, it is recommended that the award amounts for five claims be corrected. Table 5 identifies the countries concerned, the instalments to be adjusted, the number of claims affected, and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 5. Category “C” corrections: corrections arising from discrepancies between electronic and paper claim formats

<u>Country</u>	<u>Instalment</u>	<u>Number of claims affected</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Egypt	Third	1	20,639.45
	Fifth	1	1,636.00
France	Fourth	1	5,000.00
Jordan	Seventh	1	30,790.66
Kuwait	Seventh	1	(5,000.00)
<u>Total</u>		5	53,066.11

3. Summary

17. The recommended corrections related to award amounts in category “C” concern six claims submitted by five Governments resulting in a net increase of the total awarded amount of USD 46,976.14. Of these, the total amount awarded for four claims was increased by USD 58,066.11, while the total amount awarded for two claims was decreased by USD 11,089.97. The recommendations for the third, fourth, fifth, sixth and seventh instalments of category “C” claims, by country and by instalment, are provided in tables 1 to 6 of annex II to this report.

II. RECOMMENDED CORRECTIONS CONCERNING CLAIMS IN CATEGORY “D”

18. During the period under review, the “D1” Panel of Commissioners reviewed a total of 14 category “D” claims; 11 pursuant to requests for corrections from Governments on behalf of claimants, and three pursuant to errors identified by the secretariat in the course of its further processing work on category “D” claims, as set forth in paragraphs 24 to 28 below. From those reviews, corrections that relate to clerical and computational errors are recommended in respect of six category “D” claims, as outlined below.

A. Part one of the first instalment correction

19. The proposed correction concerns a clerical error that resulted in the miscalculation of the recommended award for the claim for loss of income submitted by the claimant, whose claim was included in the “Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning part one of the first instalment of individual claims for damages above US\$100,000 (category ‘D’ claims)” (S/AC.26/1998/1), and as approved by the Governing Council in decision 47 (S/AC.26/Dec.47 (1998)). A multiplier of 8 was erroneously applied in the calculation of the recommended award of the claimant’s loss of income claim instead of the multiplier of 9 that should have been applied according to the category “D” methodology for income losses. Consequently, the claimant’s calculated recommended award in category “D” was USD 34,900.00, from which the amount of USD 30,276.82 that was paid to the claimant in category “C” was deducted. The resulting net recommended award in category “D” was USD 4,323.18. Applying the correct multiplier of 9, the calculated recommended award should have been USD 38,927.32 from which the amount of USD 30,276.82 paid in category “C” should be deducted, resulting in a balance of USD 8,650.52. The additional amount of USD 4,327.34 should therefore be paid to the claimant.

20. Accordingly, as set forth in table 6 below, it is recommended that the award amount for this claim be corrected. Table 6 identifies the country concerned, the number of claims affected and the net effect of the adjustment.

Table 6. Category “D” correction: part one of the first instalment

<u>Country</u>	<u>Number of claims affected</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Canada	1	4,327.34
<u>Total</u>	1	4,327.34

B. Part one of the fourth instalment correction

21. The proposed correction concerns a clerical error that resulted in the omission to request the claimant, whose claim was included in the “Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning part one of the fourth instalment of individual claims for damages above US\$100,000 (category ‘D’ claims)” (S/AC.26/1999/21), and as approved by the Governing Council in decision 81 (S/AC.26/Dec.81 (1999)), to provide the requisite evidence at the time of the article 34 claim development. The claimant included a claim for 2,130.00 Kuwaiti dinars (KWD) for invalid

Kuwaiti dinar notes as part of his claim for other losses. The methodology for compensating invalid Kuwaiti dinars requires the original notes to be submitted to the Panel of Commissioners for inspection. However, due to a clerical oversight within the Commission's secretariat, the claimant was not requested in the article 34 notification to submit the original notes, although the claimant had indicated in his initial filing that the original notes were in his possession. As a result of the review, the claimant was asked to submit the original notes, which he did. The serial numbers of the original notes were confirmed to fall within the list of notes declared to be invalid by the Government of Kuwait after the liberation. Therefore, it is proposed that the claimant be compensated for the value of the invalid Kuwaiti dinar notes in the amount of KWD 2,130.00 (USD 7,370.25).

22. Accordingly, as set forth in table 7 below, it is recommended that the award amount for this claim be corrected. Table 7 identifies the country concerned, the number of claims affected and the net effect of the adjustment.

Table 7. Category "D" correction: part one of the fourth instalment

<u>Country</u>	<u>Number of claims affected</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Jordan	1	7,370.25
<u>Total</u>	1	7,370.25

C. Fifth instalment correction

23. The proposed correction concerns a clerical error that resulted in the claimant, whose claim was included in the "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the fifth instalment of individual claims for damages above US\$100,000 (category 'D' claims)" (S/AC.26/2000/12), and as approved by the Governing Council in decision 97 (S/AC.26/Dec.97 (2000)), receiving a nil recommended award for a loss of income claim after compensation for unrelated losses was erroneously deducted from the claimant's calculated recommended award. The claimant established that he had been employed in Kuwait since June 1986. Under the appropriate category "D" methodology, this entitled him to the application of a multiplier of 10, resulting in a calculated recommended award of USD 76,124.60. The claimant informed the Commission that a court in Kuwait had awarded him KWD 24,189.00 (USD 83,698.97) for amounts owed the claimant by his employer prior to Iraq's invasion of Kuwait. Nonetheless, this amount of USD 83,698.97 was erroneously deducted from the claimant's calculated recommended award as compensation from another source for the losses claimed, resulting in a nil payable award. The secretariat found during the review of the claim that the amount that was deducted pursuant to the court judgment in Kuwait was, as the claimant had stated in his initial filing, in respect of periods of service prior to Iraq's invasion and occupation of Kuwait and was not directly related to the claimant's submitted losses. Consequently, it is proposed that the amount in question should not have been deducted and that the claimant is entitled to the originally calculated award of USD 76,124.60 for his income losses.

24. Accordingly, as set forth in table 8 below, it is recommended that the award amount for this claim be corrected. Table 8 identifies the country concerned, the number of claims affected and the net effect of the adjustment.

Table 8. Category “D” correction: fifth instalment

<u>Country</u>	<u>Number of claims affected</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
India	1	76,124.60
<u>Total</u>	1	76,124.60

D. Thirteenth instalment corrections

25. The recommended corrections concern clerical errors found in three claims included in the “Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the thirteenth instalment of individual claims for damages above USD 100,000 (category ‘D’ claims)” (S/AC.26/2002/20), and as approved by the Governing Council in decision 165 (S/AC.26/Dec.165 (2002)).

26. The first recommended correction relates to a claim for loss of future income submitted by a claimant. The calculated recommended award for this claim was USD 144,608.76, from which the award in category “B” of USD 2,500.00 was deducted, resulting in a payable recommended award in category “D” of USD 142,108.76. Due to a clerical error, the calculated recommended award was not uploaded into the Commission’s database and was, therefore, not included in the recommended amount approved in the thirteenth instalment. The claimant should, therefore, receive a payable award in the amount of USD 142,108.76 for the claim.

27. The second recommended correction relates to a claim for business losses submitted by another claimant. The calculated proposed award for this claim was USD 196,433.00. Due to a clerical error, this amount was not uploaded into the Commission’s database when the amounts proposed for instalment thirteen claims were converted from proposed awards to recommended awards. As a result, a nil recommended award was reported for this claimant. On this basis, the amount of USD 196,433.00 should be awarded to the claimant.

28. The third recommended correction relates to a claim for business losses in respect of a farm submitted by yet another claimant. The recommended award approved for this claim was USD 262,803.00. A clerical error was made in the review of the claim for business losses that resulted in the over-calculation of the recommended award in the amount of USD 25,377.00. Therefore, it is proposed that the recommended award for the claimant be reduced from USD 262,803.00 to USD 237,426.00.

29. Accordingly, as set forth in table 9 below, it is recommended that the award amounts for these claims be corrected. Table 9 identifies the countries concerned, the number of claims affected and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 9. Category “D” corrections: thirteenth instalment

<u>Country</u>	<u>Number of claims affected</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Israel	1	142,108.76
Jordan	1	196,433.00
Kuwait	1	(25,377.00)
<u>Total</u>	3	313,164.76

E. Summary

30. The recommended corrections related to award amounts in category “D” concern six claims submitted by five Governments, resulting in a net increase of the total awarded amount of USD 400,986.95. Of these, the total amount awarded for five claims was increased by USD 426,363.95, while the total amount awarded for one claim was decreased by USD 25,377. The recommendations for part one of the first instalment, part one of the fourth instalment, the fifth and thirteenth instalments of category “D” claims, by country and by instalment, are provided in tables 1 to 5 of annex III to this report.

III. REQUESTS BY CLAIMANTS FOR ARTICLE 41 CORRECTIONS

31. During the period under review, the secretariat has continued its review of requests from Governments for corrections submitted under article 41 of the Rules. The requests are outlined below:

(a) On 31 May 2001, the Permanent Mission of Germany requested correction of Governing Council decision 81 (S/AC.26/Dec.81 (1999)), and the associated “Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning part one of the fourth instalment of individual claims for damages above US\$100,000 (category ‘D’ claims)” (S/AC.26/1999/21) (“the report on part one of the fourth instalment of ‘D’ claims”) with regard to a claim that it had submitted. Having fully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 81 is necessary, and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question;

(b) On 31 July 2001, the Permanent Mission of Belgium requested correction of Governing Council decision 81, and the associated report on part one of the fourth instalment of “D” claims with regard to a claim that it had submitted. Having fully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 81 is necessary, and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question;

(c) On 1 August 2001, the Permanent Mission of Canada requested correction of Governing Council decision 55 (S/AC.26/Dec.55 (1998)), and the associated “Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning part one of the second instalment of individual claims for damages above US\$100,000” (category ‘D’ claims) (S/AC.26/1998/11) with regard to three claims that it had submitted. Having fully reviewed all aspects of this request, the

Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 55 is necessary, and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claims in question;

(d) On 2 August 2001, the Permanent Mission of Jordan requested correction of Governing Council decision 81, and the associated report on part one of the fourth instalment of "D" claims with regard to a claim that it had submitted. Having fully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 81 is necessary, and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question;

(e) On 12 July 2001 and 3 May 2002, the Permanent Mission of the United Kingdom requested correction of Governing Council decision 97 (S/AC.26/Dec.97 (2000)), and the associated "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the fifth instalment of individual claims for damages above US\$100,000 (category 'D' claims)" (S/AC.26/2000/12) with regard to a claim that it had submitted. Having fully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 97 is necessary, and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question;

(f) In the eighteenth article 41 report, considered by the Governing Council at its forty-fourth session, mention was made of a request from the Government of the United Kingdom for correction of Governing Council decision 115 (S/AC.26/Dec.115 (2001)), and the associated "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the sixth instalment of 'E2' claims" (S/AC.26/2001/1) with regard to a British company. Having fully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 115 is necessary, and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question;

(g) On 20 June 2001, the Permanent Mission of Bahrain requested correction of Governing Council decisions 103 (S/AC.26/Dec.103 (2000)) and 127 (S/AC.26/Dec.127 (2001)), and the associated "Report and recommendations of the Panel of Commissioners concerning the fifth instalment of 'E2' claims" (S/AC.26/2000/17) ("the report on the fifth instalment of 'E2' claims") and the "Report and recommendations of the Panel of Commissioners concerning the seventh instalment of 'E2' claims" (S/AC.26/2001/11), respectively, with regard to one Bahraini company. Having fully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decisions 103 and 127 is necessary, and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claims in question;

(h) On 6 November 2001, the Permanent Mission of the United Kingdom requested correction of Governing Council decision 103 (S/AC.26/Dec.103 (2000)), and the associated report on the fifth instalment of "E2" claims with regard to a British company. Having fully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 103 is necessary, and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question;

(i) On 22 May 2002, the Permanent Mission of the United Kingdom requested correction of Governing Council decision 143 (S/AC.26/Dec.143 (2001)), and the associated “Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the ninth instalment of ‘E2’ claims” (S/AC.26/2001/27) with regard to a British company. Having fully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 143 is necessary, and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question;

(j) On 25 February 2002, the Permanent Mission of Morocco requested correction of Governing Council decision 105 (S/AC.26/Dec.105 (2000)), and the associated “Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the fourteenth instalment of ‘E3’ claims” (S/AC.26/2000/19) with regard to a Moroccan company. Having fully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 105 is necessary, and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question;

(k) On 27 March 2002, the Permanent Mission of the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia requested correction of Governing Council decision 74 (S/AC.26/Dec.74 (1999)), and the associated “Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the fourth instalment of ‘E3’ claims” (S/AC.26/1999/14) with regard to a Macedonian company. Having fully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 74 is necessary, and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question; and

(l) On 11 November 2001, the Kuwait Authority for the Assessment of Compensation for Damages Resulting from Iraqi Aggression (“PAAC”) requested correction of Governing Council decision 130 (S/AC.26/Dec.130 (2001)), and the associated “Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the eleventh instalment of ‘E4’ claims” (S/AC.26/2001/14) with regard to one Kuwaiti company. Having fully reviewed all aspects of these requests, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 130 is necessary, and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claims in question.

(m) On 19 November 2001, PAAC requested correction of Governing Council decisions 78 (S/AC.26/Dec.78 (1999)), 118 (S/AC.26/Dec.118 (2001)) and 119 (S/AC.26/Dec.119 (2001)), and the associated “Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the fourth instalment of ‘E4’ claims” (S/AC.26/1999/18), the “Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the twelfth instalment of ‘E4’ claims” (S/AC.26/2001/4), and the “Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the thirteenth instalment of ‘E4’ claims” (S/AC.26/2001/5), respectively, with regard to three Kuwaiti companies. Having fully reviewed all aspects of these requests, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decisions 78, 118 and 119 is necessary, and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claims in question.

Annex I

RECOMMENDED CORRECTIONS CONCERNING CATEGORY "A" CLAIMS

1. Based on the recommended corrections reported in paragraphs 2 to 10 of this report, supra, the category "A" claims aggregate corrected awards by instalment, per country, are as follows:

Table 1. First instalment category "A" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award (USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award (USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Bahrain	83,500.00	86,500.00	3,000.00
Pakistan	12,102,500.00	12,106,500.00	4,000.00
Russian Federation	2,884,000.00	2,912,000.00	28,000.00

Table 2. Second instalment category "A" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award (USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award (USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Bahrain	54,500.00	60,500.00	6,000.00

Table 3. Fourth instalment category "A" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award (USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award (USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Egypt	207,341,000.00	207,343,500.00	2,500.00
Philippines	30,260,500.00	30,264,500.00	4,000.00
Russian Federation	8,992,000.00	9,016,000.00	24,000.00
Yemen	25,540,000.00	25,547,500.00	7,500.00

Table 4. Fifth instalment category "A" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award (USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award (USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Pakistan	22,945,000.00	22,947,500.00	2,500.00
Russian Federation	8,324,000.00	8,332,000.00	8,000.00
Yemen	29,045,000.00	29,087,000.00	42,000.00

Table 5. Sixth instalment category "A" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award (USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award (USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
France	20,500.00	23,000.00	2,500.00
Philippines	60,717,500.00	60,720,000.00	2,500.00
Russian Federation	3,416,000.00	3,432,000.00	16,000.00
Yemen	1,860,000.00	1,861,500.00	1,500.00

2. Based on the above corrections, the revised category "A" claim total recommended awards by instalment are as follows:

Table 6. Recommended corrected total awards for category "A" claims

<u>Instalment</u>	<u>Previous total award (USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award (USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
First	189,636,500.00	189,671,500.00	35,000.00
Second	641,238,500.00	641,244,500.00	6,000.00
Fourth	732,970,000.00	733,008,000.00	38,000.00
Fifth	782,860,000.00	782,912,500.00	52,500.00
Sixth	315,670,500.00	315,693,000.00	22,500.00

Annex II

RECOMMENDED CORRECTIONS CONCERNING CATEGORY "C" CLAIMS

1. Based on the recommended corrections reported in paragraphs 11 to 17 of this report, supra, the category "C" claims aggregate corrected awards by instalment, per country, are as follows:

Table 1. Third instalment category "C" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect</u> <u>(USD)</u>
Egypt	116,453,150.51	116,473,789.96	20,639.45

Table 2. Fourth instalment category "C" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect</u> <u>(USD)</u>
France	511,527.04	516,527.04	5,000.00

Table 3. Fifth instalment category "C" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect</u> <u>(USD)</u>
Egypt	86,209,828.72	86,211,464.72	1,636.00

Table 4. Sixth instalment category "C" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect</u> <u>(USD)</u>
India	104,963,786.34	104,957,696.37	(6,089.97)

Table 5. Seventh instalment category "C" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect</u> <u>(USD)</u>
Jordan	283,073,150.21	283,103,940.87	30,790.66
Kuwait	788,925,463.29	788,920,463.29	(5,000.00)

2. Based on the above corrections, the revised category "C" claim total recommended awards by instalment are as follows:

Table 6. Recommended corrected total awards for category “C” claims

<u>Instalment</u>	<u>Previous total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect</u> <u>(USD)</u>
Third	324,883,154.77	324,903,794.22	20,639.45
Fourth	654,901,582.73	654,906,582.73	5,000.00
Fifth	736,114,264.96	736,115,900.96	1,636.00
Sixth	768,527,494.41	768,521,404.44	(6,089.97)
Seventh	1,934,699,168.44	1,934,724,959.10	25,790.66

Annex III

RECOMMENDED CORRECTIONS CONCERNING CATEGORY "D" CLAIMS

1. Based on the recommended corrections reported in paragraphs 18 to 30 of this report, supra, the category "D" claims aggregate corrected awards by instalment, per country, are as follows:

Table 1. First instalment, part one, category "D" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect</u> <u>(USD)</u>
Canada	293,950.74	298,278.08	4,327.34

Table 2. Fourth instalment, part one, category "D" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect</u> <u>(USD)</u>
Jordan	1,863,840.34	1,871,210.59	7,370.25

Table 3. Fifth instalment category "D" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect</u> <u>(USD)</u>
India	5,116,504.16	5,192,628.76	76,124.60

Table 4. Thirteenth instalment category "D" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect</u> <u>(USD)</u>
Israel	1,267,545.45	1,409,654.21	142,108.76
Jordan	3,569,233.86	3,765,666.86	196,433.00
Kuwait	133,816,710.34	133,791,333.34	(25,377.00)

2. Based on the above corrections, the revised category "D" claim total recommended awards by instalment are as follows:

Table 5. Recommended corrected total awards for category "D" claims

<u>Instalment</u>	<u>Previous total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect</u> <u>(USD)</u>
First instalment, part one	4,225,822.47	4,230,149.81	4,327.34
Fourth instalment, part one	76,396,200.46	76,403,570.71	7,370.25
Fifth instalment	45,880,835.61	45,956,960.21	76,124.60
Thirteenth instalment	147,732,224.63	148,045,389.39	313,164.76