



Security Council

Distr.
GENERAL

S/AC.26/2003/16
26 June 2003

Original: ENGLISH

UNITED NATIONS
COMPENSATION COMMISSION
GOVERNING COUNCIL

TWENTY-SECOND REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY PURSUANT TO
ARTICLE 41 OF THE PROVISIONAL RULES FOR CLAIMS PROCEDURE

Introduction

1. The present report identifies, pursuant to article 41 of the United Nations Compensation Commission's (the "Commission") Provisional Rules for Claims Procedure (S/AC.26/1992/10) (the "Rules"), recommended corrections in the various claims categories since the "Twenty-first report of the Executive Secretary pursuant to article 41 of the Provisional Rules for Claims Procedure" (S/AC.26/2003/5) (the "twenty-first article 41 report"). Chapter I of this report contains recommended corrections concerning claims in category "A", where the Panel of Commissioners has concluded its work. Chapter II provides information concerning requests by claimants for corrections to approved awards under article 41 of the Rules, including a report of the secretariat's review to determine whether or not these requests warrant action under article 41. Annex I to this report contains tables showing the aggregate corrected awards by category and instalment, per country, based on the recommendations contained herein, while annex II to this report contains a cumulative table of article 41 corrections to claim awards up to the forty-seventh session of the Governing Council.

I. RECOMMENDED CORRECTIONS CONCERNING CLAIMS IN CATEGORY "A"

2. Recommendations for corrections to category "A" claims include the following kinds of corrections: duplicate claims; reinstatement of claims previously identified as duplicates; reinstatement of claims previously rejected; individual to family; higher to lower amounts; lower to higher amounts; and low family to high individual amount.

1. Duplicate claims

3. Further to notices received from the respective Governments, two claims from the Philippines and six claims from Sri Lanka have been found to be duplicates of other claims that were awarded compensation in category "A". No compensation should have been awarded for these duplicate claims.

4. Accordingly, as set forth in table 1 below, it is recommended that the awards for these claims be corrected. Table 1 identifies the countries concerned, the instalments to be adjusted, the number of claims affected, and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 1. Category "A" corrections: duplicate claims

Country	Instalment	Number of claims affected	Amount of net effect (USD)
Philippines	Fifth	1	(4,000.00)
	Sixth	1	(8,000.00)
Sri Lanka	Fourth	5	(17,000.00)
	Fifth	1	(4,000.00)
Total		8	(33,000.00)

2. Reinstatement of claims previously identified as duplicates

5. One claim from Sri Lanka, one claim from Yemen, and two claims from Bangladesh, erroneously identified as duplicates, should be reinstated since additional information received from the respective Governments demonstrates that the claims are not in fact duplicates.

6. Accordingly, as set forth in table 2 below, it is recommended that the awards for these claims be corrected. Table 2 identifies the countries concerned, the instalments to be adjusted, the number of claims affected, and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 2. Category "A" corrections: reinstatement of claims previously identified as duplicates

Country	Instalment	Number of claims affected	Amount of net effect (USD)
Bangladesh	Sixth	2	8,000.00
Sri Lanka	Sixth	1	4,000.00
Yemen	Sixth	1	4,000.00
Total		4	16,000.00

3. Reinstatement of claims previously rejected

7. Four claims from Yemen, which were rejected due to data entry errors made by the UNCC secretariat, should be reinstated since additional information received from the Government of Yemen demonstrates that the claims should not have been rejected.

8. Accordingly, as set forth in table 3 below, it is recommended that the awards for these claims be corrected. Table 3 identifies the country concerned, the instalment to be adjusted, the number of claims affected, and the amount of net effect of the adjustment.

Table 3. Category "A" corrections: reinstatement of claims previously rejected

Country	Instalment	Number of claims affected	Amount of net effect (USD)
Yemen	Sixth	4	16,000.00
Total		4	16,000.00

4. Individual to family

9. Further to additional information received from the respective Governments, one claim from Sudan, four claims from the Philippines, eight claims from Bangladesh, and eight claims from India were incorrectly awarded individual claim amounts because the information relating to family members had mistakenly not been entered on the computer disks submitted by the respective Governments to the Commission. Corrections to one claim from Bangladesh and one claim from India are also recommended because a review of the paper claim forms submitted by the claimants revealed that the claimants had selected the higher family amount of compensation on the paper claim forms while the lower individual amounts had erroneously been entered in the electronic submissions

sent to the Commission. The awards for these claims should be increased to the amounts appropriate to the proper status of the claims.

10. Accordingly, as set forth in table 4 below, it is recommended that the award amounts for these claims be corrected. Table 4 identifies the countries concerned, the instalments to be adjusted, the number of claims affected, and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 4. Category “A” corrections: individual to family

Country	Instalment	Number of claims affected	Amount of net effect (USD)
Bangladesh	Sixth	9	34,500.00
India	Third	2	5,000.00
	Fourth	1	2,500.00
	Fifth	5	17,000.00
	Sixth	1	2,500.00
Philippines	Fourth	1	2,500.00
	Sixth	3	7,500.00
Sudan	Second	1	4,000.00
Total		23	75,500.00

5. Higher to lower amounts

11. Decision 21 (S/AC.26/Dec.21 (1994)) of the Governing Council states that “any claimant who has selected a higher amount in category ‘A’ (USD 4,000 or USD 8,000) and has also filed a category ‘B’, ‘C’ or ‘D’ claim will be deemed to have selected the corresponding lower amount under category ‘A’.” Further to additional information received from the Government of the Philippines, forty-one claims from the Philippines have been identified as having been filed for a higher amount in category “A” by claimants who had also filed claims in other claims categories. The awards for these category “A” claims should be reduced to the amount appropriate to the proper status of the claims.

12. Accordingly, as set forth in table 5 below, it is recommended that the award amounts for these claims be corrected. Table 5 identifies the country concerned, the instalments to be adjusted, the number of claims affected, and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 5. Category “A” corrections: higher to lower amounts

Country	Instalment	Number of claims affected	Amount of net effect (USD)
Philippines	Fourth	11	(16,500.00)
	Fifth	25	(37,500.00)
	Sixth	5	(9,000.00)
Total		41	(63,000.00)

6. Lower to higher amounts

13. Pursuant to the decision taken by the Governing Council at its forty-second session in December 2001 in connection with the request of the Government of Sudan concerning the readjustment of the amounts awarded to individual and family claimants who submitted claims only in category "A" but were awarded the lower amounts of compensation, the secretariat reviewed the final batch of approximately 9,000 claims submitted.

14. Upon review of original paper claim forms submitted by the Governments of Bangladesh, India, Iran, Pakistan, Sri Lanka and the Syrian Arab Republic, it is confirmed that 2,649 claimants had selected the higher amounts of compensation on the paper claim forms while lower amounts had erroneously been entered in the electronic submissions forwarded to the Commission. The awards for these claims should, therefore, be increased to the amounts appropriate to the proper status of the claims.

15. Accordingly, as set forth in table 6 below, it is recommended that the award amounts for these claims be corrected. Table 6 identifies the countries concerned, the instalments to be adjusted, the number of claims affected, and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 6. Category "A" corrections: lower to higher amounts

Country	Instalment	Number of claims affected	Amount of net effect (USD)
Bangladesh	First	4	6,000.00
	Second	38	57,000.00
	Fourth	9	13,500.00
	Fifth	75	112,500.00
	Sixth	43	64,500.00
India	First	65	108,000.00
	Second	234	396,000.00
	Third	201	363,000.00
	Fourth	633	1,036,500.00
	Fifth	1,039	1,738,500.00
	Sixth	86	145,500.00
Iran	Sixth	1	1,500.00
Pakistan	First	14	22,500.00
	Second	31	51,000.00
	Fourth	31	48,000.00
	Fifth	58	96,000.00
	Sixth	75	127,500.00
	Special	3	4,500.00

Country	Instalment	Number of claims affected	Amount of net effect (USD)
Sri Lanka	Fourth	1	1,500.00
Syrian Arab Republic	Fourth	6	10,500.00
	Fifth	2	4,500.00
Total		2,649	4,408,500.00

7. Low family to high individual amount

16. During the secretariat's review of the "lower to higher amounts" claims (see paragraphs 13 to 15 above), it was determined that one claimant from India was incorrectly awarded the low family amount instead of the high individual amount. A review of the paper claim form submitted by the claimant revealed that while the claimant had selected the high individual amount, the low family amount had erroneously been entered in the electronic submission forwarded to the Commission, and that no family information was present in the claim. The award for this claim should be reduced to the amount appropriate to the proper status of the claim.

17. Accordingly, as set forth in table 7 below, it is recommended that the award amount for this claim be corrected. Table 7 identifies the country concerned, the instalment to be adjusted, the number of claims affected, and the net effect of the adjustment.

Table 7. Category "A" corrections: low family to high individual amounts

Country	Instalment	Number of claims affected	Amount of net effect (USD)
India	Sixth	1	(1,000.00)
Total		1	(1,000.00)

4. Summary

18. The recommended corrections related to award amounts in category "A" concern 2,730 claims submitted by nine Governments resulting in a net increase in the total amount awarded of USD 4,419,000. Of these, the total amount awarded for 2,680 claims was increased by USD 4,516,000, while the total amount awarded for 50 claims was decreased by USD 97,000. The recommendations for the first, second, third, fourth, fifth, sixth, and Special (Pakistan) instalments of category "A" claims, by country and by instalment, are provided in tables 1 to 7 of annex I to this report.

II. REQUESTS BY CLAIMANTS FOR ARTICLE 41 CORRECTIONS

19. During the period under review, the secretariat has continued its review of requests from Governments for corrections to claims in categories "D", "E" and "F", submitted under article 41 of the Rules. The requests and the Executive Secretary's conclusions with respect to those requests are outlined below:

20. On 22 July 2002, the Public Authority for Assessment of Compensation for Damages Resulting from Iraqi Aggression of the State of Kuwait ("PAAC") requested correction of Governing Council decision 138 (S/AC.26/Dec.138 (2001)) and the associated "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the fourteenth instalment of 'E4' claims" (S/AC.26/2001/22) with regard to a Kuwaiti company. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 138 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question;

21. On 26 August 2002, PAAC requested correction of Governing Council decision 139 (S/AC.26/Dec.139 (2001)) and the associated "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the sixteenth instalment of 'E4' claims" (S/AC.26/2001/23) with regard to a Kuwaiti company. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 139 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question;

22. On 2 September 2002, the Permanent Mission of Spain requested correction of Governing Council decision 141 (S/AC.26/Dec.141 (2001)) and the associated "Report and recommendations of the 'D2' Panel of Commissioners concerning part two of the eighth instalment of individual claims for damages above USD 100,000 (category 'D' claims)" (S/AC.26/2001/25) with regard to an individual claim submitted through the Government of Spain. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 141 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question;

23. On 12 September 2002, the Permanent Mission of Jordan requested correction of Governing Council decision 147 (S/AC.26/Dec.147 (2002)) and the associated "Report and recommendations of the 'D2' Panel of Commissioners concerning the eleventh instalment of individual claims for damages above USD 100,000 (category 'D' claims)" (S/AC.26/2002/2) with regard to an individual claim submitted through the Government of Jordan. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 147 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question;

24. On 7 October 2002, the Permanent Mission of Jordan requested correction of Governing Council decision 155 (S/AC.26/Dec.155 (2002)) and the associated "Report and recommendations of the 'D1' Panel of Commissioners concerning part one of the twelfth instalment of individual claims for damages above USD 100,000 (category 'D' claims)" (S/AC.26/2002/10) with regard to an individual claim submitted through the Government of Jordan. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 155 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question;

25. On 30 October 2002, PAAC requested correction of Governing Council decision 139 (S/AC.26/Dec.139 (2001)) and the associated "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of

Commissioners concerning the sixteenth instalment of ‘E4’ claims” (S/AC.26/2001/23) with regard to a Kuwaiti company. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 139 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question;

26. On 30 October 2002, the Permanent Mission of the United Kingdom requested further information concerning Governing Council decision 135 (S/AC.26/Dec.135 (2001)) and the associated “Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the eighth instalment of ‘E2’ claims” (S/AC.26/2001/19) with regard to a British company. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has provided the further information requested and has concluded that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question;

27. On 20 November 2002, the Permanent Mission of the United Kingdom requested correction of Governing Council decision 167 (S/AC.26/Dec.167 (2002)) and the associated “Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the eleventh instalment of ‘E2’ claims” (S/AC.26/2002/22) with regard to a British company. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 167 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question;

28. On 8 January 2003, the Permanent Mission of Egypt requested correction of Governing Council decision 167 and the associated “Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the eleventh instalment of ‘E2’ claims” with regard to an Egyptian company. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 167 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question;

29. On 13 January 2003, the Permanent Mission of the United Kingdom requested correction of Governing Council decision 167 and the associated “Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the eleventh instalment of ‘E2’ claims” with regard to a British company. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 167 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question;

30. On 13 January 2003, the Permanent Mission of the United Kingdom requested further information concerning Governing Council decision 171 (S/AC.26/Dec.171 (2002)) and the associated “Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the second instalment of ‘F4’ claims” (S/AC.26/2002/26) with regard to a British Governmental entity. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has provided the further information requested and has concluded that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question;

31. On 22 January 2003, PAAC requested correction of Governing Council decision 170 (S/AC.26/Dec.170 (2002)) and the associated “Report and recommendations made by the Panel of

Commissioners concerning the twenty-fourth instalment of 'E4' claims" (S/AC.26/2002/25) with regard to a Kuwaiti company. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 170 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question;

32. On 29 January 2002, the Permanent Mission of Egypt requested correction of Governing Council decision 159 (S/AC.26/Dec.159 (2003)) and the associated "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the tenth instalment of 'E2' claims" (S/AC.26/2002/14) with regard to an Egyptian company. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 159 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question;

33. On 12 February 2003, the Permanent Mission of Egypt requested correction of Governing Council decision 115 (S/AC.26/Dec.115 (2001)) and the associated "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the sixth instalment of 'E2' claims" (S/AC.26/2001/1) with regard to an Egyptian company. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 115 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question;

34. On 18 February 2003, the Permanent Mission of Pakistan requested correction of Governing Council decision 87 (S/AC.26/Dec.87 (2000)) and the associated "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the sixth instalment of 'E2' claims" (S/AC.26/2001/1) with regard to a Pakistani company. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 87 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question;

35. On 21 February 2003, the Permanent Mission of the Netherlands requested further information concerning Governing Council decision 163 (S/AC.26/Dec.163 (2002)) and the associated "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the second instalment of 'E/F' claims" (S/AC.26/2002/18) with regard to a Dutch company. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has provided the further information requested and has concluded that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question; and

36. On 20 March 2003, the Permanent Mission of the United Kingdom requested further information concerning Governing Council decision 167 (S/AC.26/Dec.167 (2002)) and the associated "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of commissioners concerning the eleventh instalment of 'E2' claims" (S/AC.26/2002/22) with regard to a British company. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has provided the further information requested and has concluded that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

37. In addition, during the period under review, the secretariat has received requests for article 41 corrections with respect to claims in categories “D”, “E”, “E/F” and “F” from the following Governments and international organisations: Australia, Egypt, France, Israel, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Pakistan, Sudan, Thailand, Turkey, the United Kingdom, the United States, UNHCR Canada, and UNDP United Arab Emirates. The responses of the Executive Secretary to these requests have not yet been conveyed to the claimant countries due to the fact that the secretariat’s review of the specific claims in question, and, where appropriate, consultations with the respective Panels of Commissioners, remain ongoing. Details concerning these requests, and the Executive Secretary’s recommendations to the Governing Council with respect thereto will be contained in upcoming article 41 reports to the Governing Council.

Annex I

RECOMMENDED CORRECTIONS CONCERNING CATEGORY "A" CLAIMS

1. Based on the recommended corrections reported in paragraphs 2 to 18 of this report, *supra*, the category "A" claims aggregate corrected awards by instalment, per country, are as follows:

Table 1. First instalment category "A" claims corrections

Country	Previous total award (USD)	Corrected total award (USD)	Amount of net effect (USD)
Bangladesh	17,779,000.00	17,785,000.00	6,000.00
India	25,038,000.00	25,146,000.00	108,000.00
Pakistan	12,106,500.00	12,129,000.00	22,500.00

Table 2. Second instalment category "A" claims corrections

Country	Previous total award (USD)	Corrected total award (USD)	Amount of net effect (USD)
Bangladesh	51,413,000.00	51,470,000.00	57,000.00
India	50,842,500.00	51,238,500.00	396,000.00
Pakistan	19,510,000.00	19,561,000.00	51,000.00
Sudan	14,484,500.00	14,488,500.00	4,000.00

Table 3. Third instalment category "A" claims corrections

Country	Previous total award (USD)	Corrected total award (USD)	Amount of net effect (USD)
India	16,727,000.00	17,095,000.00	368,000.00

Table 4. Fourth instalment category "A" claims corrections

Country	Previous total award (USD)	Corrected total award (USD)	Amount of net effect (USD)
Bangladesh	52,649,500.00	52,663,000.00	13,500.00
India	146,245,500.00	147,284,500.00	1,039,000.00
Pakistan	22,646,500.00	22,694,500.00	48,000.00
Philippines	30,292,500.00	30,278,500.00	(14,000.00)
Sri Lanka	69,797,500.00	69,782,000.00	(15,500.00)
Syrian Arab Republic	26,296,000.00	26,306,500.00	10,500.00

Table 5. Fifth instalment category "A" claims corrections

Country	Previous total award (USD)	Corrected total award (USD)	Amount of net effect (USD)
Bangladesh	52,401,500.00	52,514,000.00	112,500.00
India	147,518,500.00	149,274,000.00	1,755,500.00
Pakistan	22,962,500.00	23,058,500.00	96,000.00
Philippines	31,087,500.00	31,046,000.00	(41,500.00)
Sri Lanka	69,706,500.00	69,702,500.00	(4,000.00)
Syrian Arab Republic	26,841,000.00	26,845,500.00	4,500.00

Table 6. Sixth instalment category "A" claims corrections

Country	Previous total award (USD)	Corrected total award (USD)	Amount of net effect (USD)
Bangladesh	66,299,000.00	66,406,000.00	107,000.00
India	17,378,000.00	17,525,000.00	147,000.00
Iran	5,485,500.00	5,487,000.00	1,500.00
Pakistan	46,293,000.00	46,420,500.00	127,500.00
Philippines	60,822,000.00	60,812,500.00	(9,500.00)
Sri Lanka	35,571,000.00	35,575,000.00	4,000.00
Yemen	1,861,500.00	1,881,500.00	20,000.00

Table 7. Special instalment (Pakistan) category "A" claims corrections

Country	Previous total award (USD)	Corrected total award (USD)	Amount of net effect (USD)
Pakistan	2,514,500.00	2,519,000.00	4,500.00

2. Based on the above corrections, the revised category "A" claim total recommended awards by instalment are as follows:

Table 8. Recommended corrected total awards for category "A" claims

Instalment	Previous total award (USD)	Corrected total award (USD)	Amount of net effect (USD)
First	189,854,500.00	189,991,000.00	136,500.00
Second	641,544,000.00	642,052,000.00	508,000.00
Third	531,786,500.00	532,154,500.00	368,000.00
Fourth	734,641,000.00	735,722,500.00	1,081,500.00

Instalment	Previous total award (USD)	Corrected total award (USD)	Amount of net effect (USD)
Fifth	784,283,500.00	786,206,500.00	1,923,000.00
Sixth	316,830,000.00	317,227,500.00	397,500.00
Special (Pakistan)	2,514,500.00	2,519,000.00	4,500.00

Annex II

ARTICLE 41 CORRECTIONS TO CLAIMS AWARDS (UP TO THE FORTY-SEVENTH SESSION OF THE GOVERNING COUNCIL)

Report	Category A		Category B		Category C		Category D		Category E		Total	
	Net correction for category (USD)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (USD)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (USD)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (USD)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (USD)	Number of claims corrected	Net corrections for categories A, B, C, D and E (USD)	Number of claims corrected in categories A, B, C, D and E
A(6) panel report	(6,439,500.00)	2,575	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(6,439,500.00)	2,575
B(2.2) panel report	-	-	(12,500.00)	3 ^a	-	-	-	-	-	-	(12,500.00)	3 ^a
B(3) panel report	-	-	110,000.00	10 ^b	-	-	-	-	-	-	110,000.00	10 ^b
C(4) panel report	-	-	-	-	(1,922.00)	49	-	-	-	-	(1,922.00)	49
C(5) panel report	-	-	-	-	(77,190.00)	6	-	-	-	-	(77,190.00)	6
C(6) panel report	-	-	-	-	72,685.00	15	-	-	-	-	72,685.00	15
D(5) panel report	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,646.81)	7	-	-	(2,646.81)	7
D(7) panel report	-	-	-	-	-	-	(38,836.21)	13	-	-	(38,836.21)	13
D1 (9.1) panel report	-	-	-	-	-	-	103,532.16	4	-	-	103,532.16	4
Special D panel report	-	-	-	-	-	-	(13,283,441.51)	426	-	-	(13,283,441.51)	426
E3(10) panel report	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	325,850.00	1	325,850.00	1
E4(3) panel report	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	536,513.00	3	536,513.00	3
Article 41(1) report	(5,500.00)	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(5,500.00)	10
Article 41(2) report	(49,000.00)	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(49,000.00)	16
Article 41(3) report	1,500.00	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,500.00	4
Article 41(4) report	(83,000.00)	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(83,000.00)	19
Article 41(5) report	(18,500.00)	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(18,500.00)	5
Article 41(6) report	15,867,500.00	10,757	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15,867,500.00	10,757

Report	Category A		Category B		Category C		Category D		Category E		Total	
	Net correction for category (USD)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (USD)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (USD)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (USD)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (USD)	Number of claims corrected	Net corrections for categories A, B, C, D and E (USD)	Number of claims corrected in categories A, B, C, D and E
Article 41(7) report	(6,975,500.00)	3,386	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(6,975,500.00)	3,386
Article 41(8) report	(7,806,000.00)	4,385	-	-	70,613,605.05	23,027	-	-	-	-	62,807,605.05	27,412
Article 41(9) report	(4,136,500.00)	1,068	-	-	5,278,141.15	1,727	-	-	-	-	1,141,641.15	2,795
Article 41(10) report	(1,446,000.00)	364	-	-	3,168,018.90	467	-	-	-	-	1,722,018.90	831
Article 41(11) report	(1,358,500.00)	370	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,358,500.00)	370
Article 41(12) report	(112,000.00)	26	-	-	618,398.37	41	-	-	-	-	506,398.37	67
Article 41(13) report	(55,500.00)	40	-	-	(102,863.22)	26	-	-	-	-	(158,363.22)	66
Article 41(14) report	(8,000.00)	30	-	-	5,580,355.48	625	103,532.00	4	-	-	5,675,887.48	659
Article 41(15) report	(10,500.00)	19	-	-	-	-	(57.66)	6	(7,264.37)	1	(17,822.03)	26
Article 41(16) report	142,000.00	72	-	-	453,162.71	50	-	-	-	-	595,162.71	122
Article 41(17) report	707,500.00	446	-	-	77,461.07	6	-	-	-	-	784,961.07	452
Article 41(18) report	119,500.00	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	(43,413)	1	76,087	78
Article 41(19) report	154,000.00	53	-	-	46,976.14	6	400,986.95	6	-	-	601,963.09	65
Article 41(20) report	3,739,500.00	1894	-	-	53,342.85	1	-	-	-	-	3,792,842.85	1,895
Article 41(21) report	1,157,500	688	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,157,500	688
Total	(6,615,000.00)	26,304	97,500.00	13	85,780,171.50	26,046	(12,716,931.08)	466	811,685.63	6	67,357,426.05	52,835

^a Number of consolidated claim submissions, as conveyed in the panel report.

^b Number of consolidated claim submissions, as conveyed in the panel report.
