



**Security Council**

Distr.  
GENERAL

S/AC.26/2003/32  
18 December 2003

Original: ENGLISH

---

UNITED NATIONS  
COMPENSATION COMMISSION  
GOVERNING COUNCIL

TWENTY-FOURTH REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY PURSUANT TO  
ARTICLE 41 OF THE PROVISIONAL RULES FOR CLAIMS PROCEDURE

## Introduction

1. The present report identifies, pursuant to article 41 of the United Nations Compensation Commission's (the "Commission") Provisional Rules for Claims Procedure (S/AC.26/1992/10) (the "Rules"), recommended corrections in the various claims categories since the "Twenty-third report of the Executive Secretary pursuant to article 41 of the Provisional Rules for Claims Procedure" (S/AC.26/2003/25). Chapter I of this report contains recommended corrections concerning claims in categories "A" and "C", where the Panels of Commissioners have concluded their work. Chapter II contains recommended corrections to claims in category "D", where the Panels of Commissioners continue their work. Finally, chapter III provides information concerning requests by claimants for corrections to approved awards under article 41 of the Rules, including a report of the secretariat's review to determine whether or not these requests warrant action under article 41. Annexes I to III to this report contain tables showing the aggregate corrected awards, by country and by instalment, based on the recommendations contained herein and annex IV contains a cumulative table of article 41 corrections to claim awards up to the forty-ninth session of the Governing Council.

### I. RECOMMENDED CORRECTIONS CONCERNING CLAIMS IN CATEGORIES "A" AND "C"

#### A. Category "A" corrections

2. Recommendations for corrections to category "A" claims include the following kinds of corrections: duplicate claims, reinstatement of a claim previously identified as a duplicate, and higher to lower amounts.

##### 1. Duplicate claims

3. The Commission received information from the Government of the Philippines in respect of 4,016 claims that are potentially duplicate claims. Having reviewed 977 claims to date, the Commission has confirmed that all 977 claims are indeed duplicates of other claims that were awarded compensation in category "A". No compensation should have been awarded for the duplicate claims. It should be emphasized that, when notifying the Commission of these duplicate claims, the Government of the Philippines returned to the Compensation Fund the full amount of the awards issued for such duplicate claims.

4. Accordingly, as set forth in table 1 below, it is recommended that the awards for these claims be corrected. Table 1 identifies the country concerned, the instalments to be adjusted, the number of claims affected, and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 1. Category “A” corrections: duplicate claims

<u>Country</u>	<u>Instalment</u>	<u>Number of claims affected</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Philippines	Third	1	(2,500.00)
Philippines	Fourth	516	(2,068,000.00)
Philippines	Fifth	460	(1,840,000.00)
<u>Total</u>		977	(3,910,500.00)

2. Reinstatement of a claim previously identified as a duplicate

5. One claim from Sri Lanka, which had been erroneously identified as a duplicate claim, should be reinstated since additional information received from the Government demonstrates that the claim is not in fact a duplicate.

6. Accordingly, as set forth in table 2 below, it is recommended that the award for this claim be corrected. Table 2 identifies the country concerned, the instalment to be adjusted, the number of claims affected, and the net effect of the adjustment.

Table 2. Category “A” corrections: reinstatement of claim previously identified as a duplicate

<u>Country</u>	<u>Instalment</u>	<u>Number of claims affected</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Sri Lanka	Sixth	1	4,000.00
<u>Total</u>		1	4,000.00

3. Higher to lower amounts

7. Decision 21 (S/AC.26/Dec.21 (1994)) of the Governing Council states that “any claimant who has selected a higher amount in category ‘A’ (US\$ 4,000 or US\$ 8,000) and has also filed a category ‘B’, ‘C’ or ‘D’ claim will be deemed to have selected the corresponding lower amount under category ‘A’”. Further to additional information received from the Governments of India and the Philippines, one Indian claim and two Filipino claims have been identified as having been filed for higher amounts in category “A” by claimants who had also filed claims in category “C”. The awards for the category “A” claims should be reduced to an amount appropriate to the proper status of the claims. It should be emphasized that, when notifying the Commission that such claims should have been awarded the lower amounts, the Governments of India and the Philippines returned to the Compensation Fund the excess amounts previously distributed in respect of such claims.

8. Accordingly, as set forth in table 3 below, it is recommended that the award amounts for these claims be corrected. Table 3 identifies the countries concerned, the instalments to be adjusted, the number of claims affected, and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 3. Category “A” corrections: higher to lower amounts

<u>Country</u>	<u>Instalment</u>	<u>Number of claims affected</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
India	Fifth	1	(1,500.00)
Philippines	Fourth	1	(1,500.00)
	Fifth	1	(1,500.00)
<u>Total</u>		3	(4,500.00)

#### 4. Summary

9. The recommended corrections related to award amounts in category “A” concern 981 claims submitted by three Governments resulting in a net decrease in the total amount awarded of USD 3,911,000. Of these, the total amount awarded for one claim was increased by USD 4,000, while the total amount awarded for 980 claims was decreased by USD 3,915,000. The recommendations with respect to the third, fourth, fifth and sixth instalments of category “A” claims, by country and by instalment, are provided in tables 1 to 5 of annex I to this report.

#### B. Category “C” corrections

10. Recommendations for corrections to category “C” claims are those arising from discrepancies between the electronic and paper claim formats.

##### 1. Corrections arising from discrepancies between the electronic and paper claim formats

11. The secretariat continued to review requests for corrections submitted by Governments within the final deadline of 31 December 2002 that was set by the Governing Council for category “C” claims. For these claims, the electronic information existing in the database was compared to the paper claim forms submitted by the claimants. This comparison and review determined that, for 12 claims submitted by two Governments, relevant data had been erroneously entered into the database. Consequently, as a result of data entry errors, incorrect recommendations were made in respect of these claims. It is therefore recommended that these 12 claim awards be corrected as set forth below.

12. All 12 corrections relate to awards of compensation for loss of income (“C6-Income”). In 11 of these 12 claims, the prior monthly salary data was incorrectly entered in the database resulting in a lower than appropriate award for these claimants. In the remaining claim, the total value of the claimed loss was inaccurately stated as a result of a computational error. This error adversely affected the outcome for the claimant and it is therefore recommended that this error be corrected.

13. Summaries of the category “C” Panel’s approved methodologies relevant to losses for which correction is recommended are found in the “Report and recommendations of the Panel of Commissioners concerning the seventh instalment of individual claims for damages up to US\$100,000 (category ‘C’ claims)” (S/AC.26/1999/11) at paragraphs 249 to 282 for C6-Income losses.

14. Accordingly, as set forth in table 4 below, it is recommended that the awards for 12 claims be corrected. Table 4 identifies the countries concerned, the instalments to be adjusted, the number of claims affected, and the amount of net effect of the adjustments.

Table 4. Category “C” corrections: corrections arising from discrepancies between electronic and paper formats

<u>Country</u>	<u>Instalment</u>	<u>Number of claims affected</u>	<u>Amount of net effect USD</u>
Kuwait	Sixth	1	1,453.29
Kuwait	Seventh	10	72,008.43
Philippines	Seventh	1	5,185.04
<u>Total</u>		12	78,646.76

## 2. Summary

15. The recommended corrections related to award amounts in category “C” concern 12 claims submitted by two Governments with a net increase of the total amount awarded of USD 78,646.76. The total amount awarded for all 12 claims was increased by USD 78,646.76. The recommendations with respect to the sixth and seventh instalments of category “C” claims, by country and by instalment, are located in tables 1 to 3 of annex II to this report.

## II. RECOMMENDED CORRECTIONS CONCERNING CLAIMS IN CATEGORY “D”

16. Following an inquiry from the Permanent Mission of Egypt, the “D2” Panel of Commissioners reviewed a claim that was included in the “Report and recommendations made by the ‘D2’ Panel of Commissioners concerning part two of the eighth instalment of individual claims for damages above USD 100,000 (category ‘D’ claims)” (S/AC.26/2001/25) (the “part two of the eighth instalment of ‘D’ claims report”), which recommendations were approved by the Governing Council in decision 141 (S/AC.26/Dec.141 (2001)).

17. As a result of its review, the Panel concluded that clerical errors were made in the processing of the claim that warrant correction under article 41 of the Rules. Specifically, due to a clerical error, the secretariat failed to identify for the Panel evidence that demonstrated the existence and the claimant’s ownership of two businesses. As a result of this clerical error, the Panel originally recommended no compensation for this claim. The Panel reviewed the file and concluded that evidence had been provided to demonstrate the existence and ownership of one of the businesses. As that evidence was filed in a timely manner, the Panel concluded that the claimant should have been compensated for the loss of vehicles belonging to that business. The amount of USD 25,835.87 should, therefore, be awarded to the claimant.

18. Following an inquiry from the Permanent Mission of Israel, the “D2” Panel of Commissioners reviewed a claim that was included in the part two of the eighth instalment of “D” claims report, which recommendations were approved by the Governing Council in decision 141.

19. As a result of its review, the Panel concluded that clerical errors were made in the processing of the claim that warrant correction under article 41 of the Rules. Specifically, due to a clerical error, the secretariat failed to identify for the Panel evidence that had been submitted by the claimant that demonstrated the claimant’s ownership of real property located in Israel that was struck by a scud missile on 19 July 1991. As a result of this clerical error, the Panel originally recommended no compensation for this claim. The Panel reviewed the claimant’s original submission and concluded that evidence had been provided to demonstrate ownership of the real property and that the claimant should have been compensated for lost rental income resulting from damage to the real property. The amount of USD 16,965.02 should, therefore, be awarded to the claimant.

20. As a result of information provided by the secretariat, the “D2” Panel of Commissioners reviewed a claim submitted through the Government of Kuwait that was included in the part two of the eighth instalment of “D” claims report, which recommendations were approved by the Governing Council in decision 141.

21. As a result of its review, the Panel concluded that clerical errors were made in the processing of the claim that warrant correction under article 41 of the Rules. Specifically, due to a clerical error, the secretariat had failed to place before the Panel a videotape submitted by the claimant containing evidence relating to the claimant’s loss of personal property (D4(PP)). The additional evidence, which had been filed in a timely manner, was located by the secretariat after the claim had been processed. As a result of this omission, the Panel had recommended compensation in the amount of USD 587,770.07 for this loss item. The Panel concluded that, taking into account this additional timely-filed evidence, the correct recommended award for this loss item is USD 638,512.74. The additional amount of USD 50,742.67 should, therefore, be awarded to the claimant.

22. Accordingly, as set forth in table 5 below, it is recommended that the award amounts for these claims be corrected. Table 5 identifies the countries concerned, the instalment to be adjusted, the number of claims affected, and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 5. Category “D” corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Instalment</u>	<u>Number of claims affected</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Egypt	Eighth (part two)	1	25,835.87
Israel	Eighth (part two)	1	16,965.02
Kuwait	Eighth (part two)	1	50,742.67
Total		3	93,543.56

23. In summary, the recommended correction in category “D” concerns three claims submitted by three Governments resulting in a net increase of the total amount awarded of USD 93,543.56. The recommendation with respect to part two of the eighth instalment of category “D” claims, by country and by instalment, is provided in tables 1 to 2 of annex III to this report.

### III. REQUESTS BY CLAIMANTS FOR ARTICLE 41 CORRECTIONS

24. During the period under review, the secretariat has continued its review of requests from Governments for corrections to claims in categories “D”, “E” and “F”, submitted under article 41 of the Rules. The requests and the Executive Secretary’s conclusions with respect to those requests are outlined below.

25. On 3 April 2002, the Permanent Mission of Canada requested correction of Governing Council decision 68 (S/AC.26/Dec.68 (1999)) and the associated “Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the third instalment of individual claims for damages above US\$100,000 (category ‘D’ claims)” (S/AC.26/1999/9) with regard to an individual claim submitted through the Government of Canada. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 68 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

26. On 5 May 2002, the Ministry of Labour of Jordan requested correction of Governing Council decision 147 (S/AC.26/Dec.147 (2002)) and the associated “Report and recommendations made by the ‘D1’ Panel of Commissioners concerning the eleventh instalment of individual claims for damages above USD 100,000 (category ‘D’ claims)” (S/AC.26/2002/2) (the “eleventh instalment of ‘D’ claims report”) with regard to an individual claim submitted through the Government of Jordan. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 147 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

27. On 8 May 2002, the Ministry of Labour of Jordan requested correction of Governing Council decision 147 and the associated eleventh instalment of “D” claims report with regard to an individual claim submitted through the Government of Jordan. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 147 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

28. On 20 May 2002, the Ministry of Labour of Jordan requested correction of Governing Council decision 147 and the associated eleventh instalment of “D” claims report with regard to an individual claim submitted through the Government of Jordan. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 147 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

29. On 19 August 2002, an individual Syrian claimant requested correction of Governing Council decision 142 (S/AC.26/Dec.142 (2001)) and the associated "Report and recommendations made by the 'D1' Panel of Commissioners concerning part two of the ninth instalment of individual claims for damages above USD 100,000 (category 'D' claims)" (S/AC.26/2001/26) (the "part two of the ninth instalment of 'D' claims report"). The secretariat advised the Permanent Mission of the Syrian Arab Republic that all communications between the secretariat and claimants, including requests for correction made pursuant to article 41 of the Rules, must take place through the Government that has submitted the claim on behalf of the claimant. In addition, the secretariat advised that, having carefully reviewed all aspects of the request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 142 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

30. On 20 January 2003, the Public Authority for Assessment of Compensation for Damages Resulting from Iraqi Aggression of the State of Kuwait ("PAAC") requested correction of Governing Council decision 155 (S/AC.26/Dec.155 (2002)) and the associated "Report and recommendations made by the 'D2' Panel of Commissioners concerning part one of the twelfth instalment of individual claims for damages above USD 100,000 (category 'D' claims)" (S/AC. 26/2002/10) (the "part one of the twelfth instalment of 'D' claims report") with regard to an individual claim submitted through the Government of Kuwait. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 155 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

31. On 27 January 2003, PAAC requested correction of Governing Council decision 155 and the associated part one of the twelfth instalment of "D" claims report with regard to an individual claim submitted through the Government of Kuwait. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 155 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

32. On 28 May 2003, the Permanent Mission of Sweden requested reconsideration of Governing Council decision 142 and the associated part two of the ninth instalment of "D" claims report with regard to an individual claim submitted through the Government of Sweden. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 142 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

33. On 12 January 2003, PAAC requested correction of various Governing Council decisions and the associated reports and recommendations made by the "E4" and "E4A" Panels of Commissioners with respect to 12 Kuwaiti companies. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of the relevant Governing Council decisions is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claims in question.

34. On 14 January 2003, PAAC requested correction of various Governing Council decisions and the associated reports and recommendations made by the "E4" and "E4A" Panels of Commissioners with respect to 15 Kuwaiti companies. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of the relevant Governing Council decisions is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claims in question.
35. On 15 January 2003, PAAC requested correction of various Governing Council decisions and the associated reports and recommendations made by the "E4" and "E4A" Panels of Commissioners with respect to 18 Kuwaiti companies. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of the relevant Governing Council decisions is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claims in question.
36. On 27 January 2003, PAAC requested correction of various Governing Council decisions and the associated reports and recommendations made by the "E4" and "E4A" Panels of Commissioners with respect to 12 Kuwaiti companies. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of the relevant Governing Council decisions is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claims in question.
37. On 3 February 2003, PAAC requested correction of various Governing Council decisions and the associated reports and recommendations made by the "E4" and "E4A" Panels of Commissioners with respect to nine Kuwaiti companies. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of the relevant Governing Council decisions is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claims in question.
38. On 5 February 2003, PAAC requested correction of various Governing Council decisions and the associated reports and recommendations made by the "E4" and "E4A" Panels of Commissioners with respect to four Kuwaiti companies. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of the relevant Governing Council decisions is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claims in question.
39. On 12 March 2003, PAAC requested correction of various Governing Council decisions and the associated reports and recommendations made by the "E4" and "E4A" Panels of Commissioners with respect to 16 Kuwaiti companies. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of the relevant Governing Council decisions is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claims in question.
40. On 13 March 2003, the Permanent Mission of the United Kingdom requested a review of Governing Council decision 167 (S/AC.26/Dec.167 (2002)) and the associated "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the eleventh instalment of 'E2'

claims” (S/AC.26/2002/22) (the “eleventh instalment of ‘E2’ claims report”) with regard to a British company. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 167 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

41. On 29 July 2003, the Permanent Mission of Pakistan requested reconsideration of Governing Council decision 167 and the associated eleventh instalment of “E2” claims report with regard to a Pakistani company. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 167 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

42. On 21 September 2003, an individual purporting to represent a German company requested a review of Governing Council decision 202 (S/AC.26/Dec.202 (2003)) and the associated “Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the fourteenth instalment of ‘E2’ claims” (S/AC.26/2003/21) (the “fourteenth instalment of ‘E2’ claims report”) with regard to the company. The secretariat noted that the claimant had, in previous correspondence, advised the Commission that the individual has no standing to represent the claimant before the Commission. The secretariat transmitted a response to the Permanent Mission of Germany setting out an explanation of the Panel’s reasons for rejecting the claim and requested the Permanent Mission to forward the explanation to the claimant.

43. On 22 September 2003, the Permanent Mission of Germany requested a review of Governing Council decision 202 and the associated fourteenth instalment of “E2” claims report with regard to a German company. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 202 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

44. On 29 September 2003, the Permanent Mission of the United Kingdom requested a review of Governing Council decision 143 (S/AC.26/Dec.143 (2001)) and the associated “Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the ninth instalment of ‘E2’ claims” (S/AC.26/2001/27) with regard to a British company. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 143 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

45. On 29 September 2003, the Permanent Mission of the United Kingdom requested a review of Governing Council decision 159 (S/AC.26/Dec.159 (2002)) and the associated “Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the tenth instalment of ‘E2’ claims” (S/AC.26/2002/14) (the “tenth instalment of ‘E2’ claims report”) with regard to a British company. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 159 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

46. On 29 September 2003, the Permanent Mission of the Netherlands requested a review of Governing Council decision 159 and the associated tenth instalment of "E2" claims report with regard to a Dutch company. Since the request for review had already been conclusively addressed in a previous review, the Permanent Mission was informed that the new request would not be subject to further review.

47. In addition, during the period under review, the secretariat has received requests for article 41 corrections with respect to claims in categories "D", "E", and "F" from a number of Governments and international organizations. The responses of the Executive Secretary to these requests have not yet been conveyed to the claimant countries due to the fact that the secretariat's review of the specific claims in question, and, where appropriate, consultations with the respective panels of Commissioners remain ongoing. Details concerning these requests, and the Executive Secretary's recommendations to the Governing Council with respect thereto will be contained in upcoming article 41 reports to the Governing Council.

Annex I

## RECOMMENDED CORRECTIONS CONCERNING CATEGORY "A" CLAIMS

1. Based on the recommended corrections reported in paragraphs 2 to 9 of this report, supra, the category "A" claims aggregate corrected awards by instalment, per country, are as follows:

Table 1. Third instalment category "A" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect</u> <u>(USD)</u>
Philippines	5,490,000.00	5,487,500.00	(2,500.00)

Table 2. Fourth instalment category "A" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect</u> <u>(USD)</u>
Philippines	30,278,500.00	28,209,000.00	(2,069,500.00)

Table 3. Fifth instalment category "A" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect</u> <u>(USD)</u>
India	149,270,000.00	149,268,500.00	(1,500.00)
Philippines	31,038,500.00	29,197,000.00	(1,841,500.00)

Table 4. Sixth instalment category "A" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect</u> <u>(USD)</u>
Sri Lanka	35,575,000.00	35,579,000.00	4,000.00

2. Based on the above corrections, the revised category "A" claim total recommended awards by instalment are as follows:

Table 5. Recommended corrected total awards for category "A" claims

<u>Instalment</u>	<u>Previous total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect</u> <u>(USD)</u>
Third	532,150,500.00	532,148,000.00	(2,500.00)
Fourth	735,726,500.00	733,657,000.00	(2,069,500.00)
Fifth	786,223,000.00	784,380,000.00	(1,843,000.00)
Sixth	317,251,500.00	317,255,500.00	4,000.00

Annex II

## RECOMMENDED CORRECTIONS CONCERNING CATEGORY "C" CLAIMS

1. Based on the recommended corrections reported in paragraphs 10 to 15 of this report, supra, the category "C" claims aggregate corrected awards by instalment, per country, are as follows:

Table 1. Sixth instalment category "C" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect</u> <u>(USD)</u>
Kuwait	45,919,687.69	45,921,140.98	1,453.29

Table 2. Seventh instalment category "C" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect</u> <u>(USD)</u>
Kuwait	788,920,463.29	788,992,471.72	72,008.43
Philippines	10,367,513.31	10,372,698.35	5,185.04

2. Based on the above corrections, the revised category "C" claim total recommended awards by instalment are as follows:

Table 3. Recommended corrected total awards for category "C" claims

<u>Instalment</u>	<u>Previous total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect</u> <u>(USD)</u>
Sixth	768,572,508.10	768,573,961.39	1,453.29
Seventh	1,934,814,511.07	1,934,891,704.54	77,193.47

Annex III

## RECOMMENDED CORRECTIONS CONCERNING CATEGORY "D" CLAIMS

1. Based on the recommended corrections reported in paragraphs 16 to 23 of this report, supra, the category "D" claims aggregate corrected awards by instalment, per country, are as follows:

Table 1. Part two of the eighth instalment category "D" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award (USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award (USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Egypt	87,365.48	113,201.35	25,835.87
Israel	137,340.72	154,305.74	16,965.02
Kuwait	29,016,844.38	29,067,587.05	50,742.67

2. Based on the above correction, the revised category "D" claim total recommended awards by instalment are as follows:

Table 2. Recommended corrected total awards for category "D" claims

<u>Instalment</u>	<u>Previous total award (USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award (USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Eighth (part two)	32,745,106.63	32,838,650.19	93,543.56

Annex IV

ARTICLE 41 CORRECTIONS TO CLAIMS AWARDS (UP TO THE FORTY-NINTH SESSION OF THE GOVERNING COUNCIL)

Report	Category A		Category B		Category C		Category D		Category E		Total	
	Net correction for category (USD)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (USD)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (USD)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (USD)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (USD)	Number of claims corrected	Net corrections for categories A, B, C, D and E (USD)	Number of claims corrected in categories A, B, C, D and E
A(6) panel report	(6,439,500.00)	2,575	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(6,439,500.00)	2,575
B(2.2) panel report	-	-	(12,500.00)	3 <sup>a</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	-	(12,500.00)	3 <sup>a</sup>
B(3) panel report	-	-	110,000.00	10 <sup>b</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	-	110,000.00	10 <sup>b</sup>
C(4) panel report	-	-	-	-	(1,922.00)	49	-	-	-	-	(1,922.00)	49
C(5) panel report	-	-	-	-	(77,190.00)	6	-	-	-	-	(77,190.00)	6
C(6) panel report	-	-	-	-	72,685.00	15	-	-	-	-	72,685.00	15
D(5) panel report	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,646.81)	7	-	-	(2,646.81)	7
D(7) panel report	-	-	-	-	-	-	(38,836.21)	13	-	-	(38,836.21)	13
D1 (9.1) panel report	-	-	-	-	-	-	103,532.16	4	-	-	103,532.16	4
Special D panel report	-	-	-	-	-	-	(13,283,441.51)	426	-	-	(13,283,441.51)	426
E3(10) panel report	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	325,850.00	1	325,850.00	1
E4(3) panel report	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	536,513.00	3	536,513.00	3
Article 41(1) report	(5,500.00)	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(5,500.00)	10
Article 41(2) report	(49,000.00)	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(49,000.00)	16
Article 41(3) report	1,500.00	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,500.00	4
Article 41(4) report	(83,000.00)	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(83,000.00)	19
Article 41(5) report	(18,500.00)	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(18,500.00)	5
Article 41(6) report	15,867,500.00	10,757	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15,867,500.00	10,757

Report	Category A		Category B		Category C		Category D		Category E		Total	
	Net correction for category (USD)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (USD)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (USD)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (USD)	Number of claims corrected	Net correction for category (USD)	Number of claims corrected	Net corrections for categories A, B, C, D and E (USD)	Number of claims corrected in categories A, B, C, D and E
Article 41(7) report	(6,975,500.00)	3,385	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(6,975,500.00)	3,385
Article 41(8) report	(7,806,000.00)	4,385	-	-	70,613,604.05	23,282	-	-	-	-	62,807,604.05	27,667
Article 41(9) report	(4,136,500.00)	1,062	-	-	5,278,142.15	1,730	-	-	-	-	1,141,642.15	2,792
Article 41(10) report	(1,446,000.00)	364	-	-	3,168,018.90	467	-	-	-	-	1,722,018.90	831
Article 41(11) report	(1,358,500.00)	370	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,358,500.00)	370
Article 41(12) report	(112,000.00)	26	-	-	613,498.37	40	-	-	-	-	501,498.37	66
Article 41(13) report	(55,500.00)	40	-	-	(102,863.22)	27	-	-	-	-	(158,363.22)	67
Article 41(14) report	(8,000.00)	31	-	-	5,580,355.48	625	103,532.16	4	-	-	5,675,887.64	660
Article 41(15) report	(10,500.00)	19	-	-	-	-	(57.66)	6	(7,264.37)	1	(17,822.03)	26
Article 41(16) report	142,000.00	73	-	-	453,162.71	54	-	-	-	-	595,162.71	127
Article 41(17) report	707,500.00	446	-	-	77,461.07	6	-	-	-	-	784,961.07	452
Article 41(18) report	119,500.00	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	(43,413)	1	76,087	78
Article 41(19) report	154,000.00	55	-	-	46,976.14	6	400,986.95	6	-	-	601,963.09	67
Article 41(20) report	3,739,500.00	1896	-	-	53,342.85	1	-	-	-	-	3,792,842.85	1,897
Article 41(21) report	1,157,500	688	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,157,500.00	688
Article 41(22) report	4,419,000.00	2,730	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,419,000.00	2,730
Article 41(23) report	44,500.00	20	-	-	161,331.14	15	12,411.60	1	(48,653.00)	5	169,589.74	43
<b>Total</b>	<b>(2,151,500.00)</b>	<b>29,053</b>	<b>97,500.00</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>85,936,602.64</b>	<b>26,323</b>	<b>(12,704,519.32)</b>	<b>467</b>	<b>763,032.63</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>71,941,115.95</b>	<b>55,869</b>

<sup>a</sup> Number of consolidated claim submissions, as conveyed in the panel report.

<sup>b</sup> Number of consolidated claim submissions, as conveyed in the panel report.

-----