



Security Council

Distr.
GENERAL

S/AC.26/2004/9
2 July 2004

Original: ENGLISH

UNITED NATIONS
COMPENSATION COMMISSION
GOVERNING COUNCIL

TWENTY-SIXTH REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY PURSUANT TO
ARTICLE 41 OF THE PROVISIONAL RULES FOR CLAIMS PROCEDURE

Introduction

1. The present report identifies, pursuant to article 41 of the United Nations Compensation Commission's (the "Commission") Provisional Rules for Claims Procedure (S/AC.26/1992/10) (the "Rules"), recommended corrections in the various claims categories since the "Twenty-fifth report of the Executive Secretary pursuant to article 41 of the Provisional Rules for Claims Procedure" (S/AC.26/2004/4). Chapter I of this report contains recommended corrections concerning claims in categories "A" and "C", where the panels of Commissioners have concluded their work. Chapter II contains recommended corrections to claims in category "D", where the panels of Commissioners continue their work. Finally, chapter III provides information concerning requests by claimants for corrections to approved awards under article 41 of the Rules, including a report of the secretariat's review to determine whether or not these requests warrant action under article 41. Annexes I to III to this report contain tables showing the aggregate corrected awards, by country and by instalment, based on the recommendations contained herein and annex IV contains a cumulative table of article 41 corrections to claim awards up to the fifty-first session of the Governing Council.

I. RECOMMENDED CORRECTIONS CONCERNING CLAIMS IN CATEGORIES "A" AND "C"

A. Category "A" corrections

2. Recommendations for corrections to category "A" claims include the following kinds of corrections: duplicate claims and higher to lower amounts.

1. Duplicate claims

3. The Commission received information from the Government of the Philippines that 4,015 claims, which it had submitted in category "A", were potentially duplicate claims. Following verification by the secretariat, the Governing Council approved corrections to 977 confirmed duplicate claims in decision 213 (S/AC.26/Dec.213 (2003)) in December 2003 and 2,987 claims in decision 217 (S/AC.26/Dec.217 (2004)) in March 2004. After obtaining further clarifications from the Government of the Philippines concerning the correct related category "A" claims, the secretariat now confirms the following information with regard to the remaining 51 claims: an additional 40 claims are, indeed, duplicates of other claims that were awarded compensation in category "A"; four claims are still under consideration by the Government of the Philippines, which is expected to confirm their status; four claims had been corrected prior to the receipt of the list of duplicate claims; and three claims were reported in error in the initial list of duplicate claims. It should be noted that, when notifying the Commission of these duplicate claims, the Government of the Philippines returned to the Compensation Fund the full amount of the awards issued for such duplicate claims. The Executive Secretary has concluded that no compensation should have been awarded with regard to the 40 claims that have been confirmed as duplicates within the present report.

4. In addition, the Commission received information from the Government of Sri Lanka that three claims which it had submitted in category "A" were potentially duplicate claims. Having reviewed these claims, the secretariat confirms that they are, indeed, duplicates. It should be noted that, when notifying the Commission of these duplicate claims, the Government of Sri Lanka returned to the

Compensation Fund the full amount of the awards issued for such duplicate claims. The Executive Secretary has concluded that no compensation should have been awarded with regard to the three claims in question confirmed as duplicates.

5. Accordingly, as set forth in table 1 below, it is recommended that the awards for these claims be corrected. Table 1 identifies the countries concerned, the instalments to be adjusted, the number of claims affected, and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 1. Category “A” corrections: duplicate claims

<u>Country</u>	<u>Instalment</u>	<u>Number of claims affected</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Philippines	Fourth	3	(12,000.00)
	Fifth	36	(144,000.00)
	Sixth	1	(4,000.00)
Sri Lanka	Fourth	1	(2,500.00)
	Fifth	1	(4,000.00)
	Sixth	1	(4,000.00)
<u>Total</u>		43	(170,500.00)

2. Higher to lower amounts

6. Decision 21 (S/AC.26/Dec.21 (1994)) of the Governing Council states that “any claimant who has selected a higher amount in category ‘A’ (US\$4,000 or US\$8,000) and has also filed a category ‘B’, ‘C’ or ‘D’ claim will be deemed to have selected the corresponding lower amount under category ‘A’”. As a result of further information received from the Government of the Philippines, four claims have been identified as having been filed for higher amounts in category “A” by claimants who had also filed claims in category “C”. The awards for these category “A” claims should be reduced to the amounts appropriate to the proper status of the claims. It should be noted that, when notifying the Commission that such claims should have been awarded the lower amounts, the Government of the Philippines returned to the Compensation Fund the excess amounts previously awarded in respect of such claims.

7. Accordingly, as set forth in table 2 below, it is recommended that the awards for these claims be corrected. Table 2 identifies the country concerned, the instalments to be adjusted, the number of claims affected, and the net effect of the adjustments.

Table 2. Category “A” corrections: higher to lower amounts

<u>Country</u>	<u>Instalment</u>	<u>Number of claims affected</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Philippines	Fourth	1	(1,500.00)
	Fifth	2	(3,000.00)
	Sixth	1	(1,500.00)
<u>Total</u>		4	(6,000.00)

3. Summary

8. The recommended corrections related to award amounts in category “A” concern 47 claims submitted by two Governments resulting in a net decrease in the total amount awarded of USD 176,500. The recommendations with respect to the fourth, fifth and sixth instalments of category “A” claims, by country and by instalment, are provided in tables 1 to 4 of annex I to this report.

B. Category “C” corrections

9. Recommendations for corrections to category “C” claims are those arising from duplicate claims.

1. Duplicate claims

10. Following the review of information received from the Government of India, one claim from India has been found to be a duplicate of another claim that was awarded compensation in category “C”. It should be noted that, when notifying the Commission of this duplicate claim, the Government of India returned to the Compensation Fund the full amount of the award issued for the duplicate claim. The Executive Secretary has concluded that no compensation should have been awarded with regard to the claim in question confirmed as a duplicate.

11. Accordingly, as set forth in table 3 below, it is recommended that the award for this claim be corrected. Table 3 identifies the country concerned, the instalment to be adjusted, the number of claims affected, and the net effect of the adjustment.

Table 3. Category “C” corrections: duplicate claims

<u>Country</u>	<u>Instalment</u>	<u>Number of claims affected</u>	<u>Amount of net effect USD</u>
India	Fourth	1	(4,625.19)
<u>Total</u>		1	(4,625.19)

2. Summary

12. The recommended correction related to award amounts in category “C” concerns one claim submitted by one Government resulting in a net decrease of the total amount awarded of USD 4,625.19. The recommendation with respect to the fourth instalment of category “C” claims, by country and by instalment, is located in tables 1 to 2 of annex II to this report.

II. RECOMMENDED CORRECTIONS CONCERNING CLAIMS IN CATEGORY “D”

13. As a result of information provided by the secretariat, the “D1” Panel of Commissioners reviewed a claim submitted through the Government of Kuwait that was included in the “Report and recommendations made by the ‘D1’ Panel of Commissioners concerning the thirteenth instalment of individual claims for damages above USD 100,000 (category ‘D’ claims)” (S/AC.26/2002/20) (the “thirteenth instalment ‘D’ report”), which recommendations were approved by the Governing Council in decision 165 (S/AC.26/Dec.165 (2002)).

14. As a result of its review, the Panel concluded that clerical errors were made in the processing of the claim that warrant correction under article 41 of the Rules. Specifically, due to a clerical error, the secretariat failed to identify for the Panel evidence that demonstrated that another claimant, whose claim was submitted through the Government of Yemen, was the owner of three motor vehicles for which the Kuwaiti claimant also filed a claim. The Panel’s recommendations concerning the claim by the Yemeni claimant are included in the “Report and recommendations made by the ‘D1’ Panel of Commissioners concerning part two of the nineteenth instalment of individual claims for damages above USD 100,000 (category ‘D’ claims)”. As a result of this clerical error, the Panel had, in the thirteenth instalment “D” report, originally recommended compensation in the amount of USD 35,854.67 for the Kuwaiti claimant’s claim for the motor vehicles. The Panel reviewed the file and concluded that the Yemeni claimant had provided evidence to demonstrate that he (and not the Kuwaiti claimant) was the owner of the motor vehicles. Following the recommendation of the Panel, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no compensation should have been awarded to the Kuwaiti claimant with respect to these three vehicles and that the previously approved award should be corrected pursuant to article 41 of the Rules.

15. Accordingly, as set forth in table 4 below, it is recommended that the award for this claim be corrected. Table 4 identifies the country concerned, the instalment to be adjusted, the number of claims affected, and the net effect of the adjustment.

Table 4. Category “D” corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Instalment</u>	<u>Number of claims affected</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Kuwait	Thirteenth	1	(35,854.67)
<u>Total</u>		1	(35,854.67)

16. In summary, the recommended correction in category “D” concerns one claim submitted by one Government resulting in a net decrease of the total amount awarded of USD 35,854.67. The recommendation with respect to the thirteenth instalment of “D” claims is provided in tables 1 to 2 of annex III to this report.

III. REQUESTS BY CLAIMANTS FOR ARTICLE 41 CORRECTIONS

17. During the period under review, the secretariat has continued its review of requests from Governments for corrections to claims in categories “D”, “E” and “F”, submitted under article 41 of the Rules. The requests and the Executive Secretary’s conclusions with respect to those requests are outlined below.

18. On 23 October 2001, the Permanent Mission of India requested correction of Governing Council decision 97 (S/AC.26/Dec.97 (2000)) and the associated “Report and recommendations made by the ‘D1’ Panel of Commissioners concerning the fifth instalment of individual claims for damages above USD 100,000 (category ‘D’ claims)” (S/AC.26/2000/12) with regard to an individual claim submitted through the Government of India. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 97 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

19. On 11 February 2002, the Permanent Mission of the United Kingdom requested correction of Governing Council decision 49 (S/AC.26/Dec.49 (1998)) and the associated “Report and recommendations made by the ‘D1’ Panel of Commissioners concerning part two of the first instalment of individual claims for damages above USD 100,000 (category ‘D’ claims)” (S/AC.26/1998/3) with regard to an individual claim submitted through the Government of the United Kingdom. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 49 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

20. On 28 March 2002, the Permanent Mission of Pakistan requested correction of Governing Council decision 141 (S/AC.26/Dec.141 (2001)) and the associated “Report and recommendations made by the ‘D2’ Panel of Commissioners concerning part two of the eighth instalment of individual claims for damages above USD 100,000 (category ‘D’ claims)” (S/AC.26/2001/25) (the “part two of the eighth instalment ‘D’ report”) with regard to an individual claim submitted through the Government of Pakistan. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 141 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

21. On 25 April 2002, the Public Authority for Assessment of Compensation for Damages Resulting from Iraqi Aggression of the State of Kuwait (“PAAC”) requested correction of Governing Council decision 142 (S/AC.26/Dec.142 (2001)) and the associated “Report and recommendations made by the ‘D1’ Panel of Commissioners concerning part two of the ninth instalment of individual claims for damages above USD 100,000 (category ‘D’ claims)” (S/AC.26/2001/26) (the “part two of the ninth instalment ‘D’ report”) with regard to an individual claim submitted through the Government of Kuwait. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 142 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

22. On 12 and 15 May 2002, the Ministry of Labour of Jordan requested correction of Governing Council decision 147 (S/AC.26/Dec.147 (2002)) and the associated “Report and recommendations made by the ‘D1’ Panel of Commissioners concerning the eleventh instalment of individual claims for damages above USD 100,000 (category ‘D’ claims)” (S/AC.26/2002/2) (the “eleventh instalment ‘D’ report”) with regard to four individual claims submitted through the Government of Jordan. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 147 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claims in question.

23. On 15 May 2002, the Permanent Mission of Lebanon requested correction of Governing Council decision 141 and the associated part two of the eighth instalment “D” report with regard to an individual claim submitted through the Government of Lebanon. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 141 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

24. On 25 July 2002, the Permanent Mission of Sweden requested correction of Governing Council decision 142 and the associated part two of the ninth instalment “D” report with regard to an individual claim submitted through the Government of Sweden. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 142 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

25. On 21 October 2002, the Permanent Mission of Pakistan requested correction of Governing Council decision 141 and the associated part two of the eighth instalment “D” report with regard to two individual claims submitted through the Government of Pakistan. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 141 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claims in question.

26. On 24 October 2002 and 7 January 2003, the Permanent Mission of the United States requested correction of Governing Council decision 165 and the associated thirteenth instalment “D” report with regard to two individual claims submitted through the Government of the United States. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 165 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

27. On 14 January 2003, the Permanent Mission of Pakistan requested correction of Governing Council decision 141 and the associated part two of the eighth instalment “D” report with regard to two individual claims submitted through the Government of Pakistan. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 141 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claims in question.

28. On 20 January 2003, the Permanent Mission of Israel requested correction of Governing Council decision 141 and the associated part two of the eighth instalment "D" report with regard to an individual claim submitted through the Government of Israel. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 141 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

29. On 3 March and 8 May 2003, the Ministry of Labour of Jordan requested correction of Governing Council decision 175 (S/AC.26/Dec.175 (2002)) and the associated "Report and recommendations made by the 'D1' Panel of Commissioners concerning part one of the fifteenth instalment of individual claims for damages above USD 100,000 (category 'D' claims)" (S/AC.26/2002/30) with regard to three individual claims submitted through the Government of Jordan. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 175 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claims in question.

30. On 8 May 2003, the Permanent Mission of the United Kingdom requested correction of Governing Council decision 142 and the associated part two of the ninth instalment "D" report with regard to an individual claim submitted through the Government of the United Kingdom. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 142 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

31. On 5 September 2003, the Permanent Mission of India requested correction of Governing Council decision 81 and the associated "Report and recommendations made by the 'D1' Panel of Commissioners concerning part one of the fourth instalment of individual claims for damages above USD 100,000 (category 'D' claims)" (S/AC.26/1999/21) with regard to an individual claim submitted through the Government of India. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 81 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

32. On 9 October 2003, the Permanent Mission of Pakistan requested correction of Governing Council decision 142 and the associated part two of the ninth instalment "D" report with regard to an individual claim submitted through the Government of Pakistan. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 142 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

33. On 8 December 2003, the Permanent Mission of the United Kingdom requested correction of Governing Council decision 182 and the associated "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the twelfth instalment of 'E2' claims" (S/AC.26/2003/2) with regard to a British company. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 182 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

34. On 9 December 2003, the Permanent Mission of France requested correction of Governing Council decision 87 (S/AC.26/Dec.87 (2000)) and the associated "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the fourth instalment of 'E2' claims" (S/AC.26/2000/2) with regard to a French company. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 87 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

35. On 23 December 2003, the Permanent Mission of France requested correction of Governing Council decision 202 (S/AC.26/Dec.202 (2003)) and the associated "Report and recommendations made by the Panel of Commissioners concerning the fourteenth instalment of 'E2' claims" (S/AC.26/2003/21) with regard to a French company. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 202 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

36. On 29 December 2003, the Permanent Mission of the Syrian Arab Republic requested correction of Governing Council decision 166 (S/AC.26/Dec.166 (2002)) and the associated "Report and recommendations made by the 'D2' Panel of Commissioners concerning part one of the fourteenth instalment of individual claims for damages above USD 100,000 (category 'D' claims)" (S/AC.26/2002/21) with regard to an individual claim submitted through the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic. Having carefully reviewed all aspects of this request, the Executive Secretary has concluded that no correction of Governing Council decision 166 is necessary and that no action pursuant to article 41 of the Rules is warranted with regard to the claim in question.

37. In addition, during the period under review, the secretariat has received approximately 630 requests for article 41 corrections with respect to claims in categories "D", "E", and "F" from a number of Governments and international organizations. The responses of the Executive Secretary to these requests have not yet been conveyed to the claimant countries due to the fact that the secretariat's review of the specific claims in question, and, where appropriate, consultations with the respective panels of Commissioners remain ongoing. Details concerning these requests, and the Executive Secretary's recommendations to the Governing Council with respect thereto will be contained in upcoming article 41 reports to the Governing Council.

Annex I

RECOMMENDED CORRECTIONS CONCERNING CATEGORY "A" CLAIMS

1. Based on the recommended corrections reported in paragraphs 2 to 8 of this report, supra, the category "A" claims aggregate corrected awards by instalment, per country, are as follows:

Table 1. Fourth instalment category "A" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award (USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award (USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Philippines	27,429,000.00	27,415,500.00	(13,500.00)
Sri Lanka	69,782,000.00	69,779,500.00	(2,500.00)

Table 2. Fifth instalment category "A" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award (USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award (USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Philippines	18,116,500.00	17,969,500.00	(147,000.00)
Sri Lanka	69,702,500.00	69,698,500.00	(4,000.00)

Table 3. Sixth instalment category "A" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award (USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award (USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Philippines	60,712,500.00	60,707,000.00	(5,500.00)
Sri Lanka	35,579,000.00	35,575,000.00	(4,000.00)

2. Based on the above corrections, the revised category "A" claim total recommended awards by instalment are as follows:

Table 4. Recommended corrected total awards for category "A" claims

<u>Instalment</u>	<u>Previous total award (USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award (USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Fourth	732,874,500.00	732,858,500.00	(16,000.00)
Fifth	773,304,500.00	773,153,500.00	(151,000.00)
Sixth	317,155,500.00	317,146,000.00	(9,500.00)

Annex II

RECOMMENDED CORRECTIONS CONCERNING CATEGORY "C" CLAIMS

1. Based on the recommended corrections reported in paragraphs 9 to 12 of this report, supra, the category "C" claims aggregate corrected awards by instalment, per country, are as follows:

Table 1. Fourth instalment category "C" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award (USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award (USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
India	45,977,695.49	45,973,070.30	(4,625.19)

2. Based on the above correction, the revised category "C" claim total recommended awards by instalment are as follows:

Table 2. Recommended corrected total awards for category "C" claims

<u>Instalment</u>	<u>Previous total award (USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award (USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Fourth	654,977,692.51	654,973,067.32	(4,625.19)

Annex III

RECOMMENDED CORRECTIONS CONCERNING CATEGORY "D" CLAIMS

1. Based on the recommended corrections reported in paragraphs 13 to 16 of this report, supra, the category "D" claims aggregate corrected awards by instalment, per country, are as follows:

Table 1. Thirteenth instalment category "D" claims corrections

<u>Country</u>	<u>Previous total award (USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award (USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Kuwait	133,791,333.34	133,755,478.67	(35,854.67)

2. Based on the above correction, the revised category "D" claim total recommended awards by instalment are as follows:

Table 2. Recommended corrected total awards for category "D" claims

<u>Instalment</u>	<u>Previous total award (USD)</u>	<u>Corrected total award (USD)</u>	<u>Amount of net effect (USD)</u>
Thirteenth	148,045,389.39	148,009,534.72	(35,854.67)

Annex IV

ARTICLE 41 CORRECTIONS TO CLAIMS AWARDS (UP TO THE FIFTY-FIRST SESSION OF THE GOVERNING COUNCIL)

<u>Report</u>	<u>Category A</u>		<u>Category B</u>		<u>Category C</u>		<u>Category D</u>		<u>Category E</u>		<u>Total</u>	
	<u>Net correction for category (USD)</u>	<u>Number of claims corrected</u>	<u>Net correction for category (USD)</u>	<u>Number of claims corrected</u>	<u>Net correction for category (USD)</u>	<u>Number of claims corrected</u>	<u>Net correction for category (USD)</u>	<u>Number of claims corrected</u>	<u>Net correction for category (USD)</u>	<u>Number of claims corrected</u>	<u>Net corrections for categories A, B, C, D and E (USD)</u>	<u>Number of claims corrected in categories A, B, C, D and E</u>
A(6) panel report	(6,439,500.00)	2,575	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(6,439,500.00)	2,575
B(2.2) panel report	-	-	(12,500.00)	3 ^a	-	-	-	-	-	-	(12,500.00)	3 ^a
B(3) panel report	-	-	110,000.00	10 ^b	-	-	-	-	-	-	110,000.00	10 ^b
C(4) panel report	-	-	-	-	(1,922.00)	49	-	-	-	-	(1,922.00)	49
C(5) panel report	-	-	-	-	(77,190.00)	6	-	-	-	-	(77,190.00)	6
C(6) panel report	-	-	-	-	72,685.00	15	-	-	-	-	72,685.00	15
D(5) panel report	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,646.81)	7	-	-	(2,646.81)	7
D(7) panel report	-	-	-	-	-	-	(38,836.21)	13	-	-	(38,836.21)	13
D1 (9.1) panel report	-	-	-	-	-	-	103,532.16	4	-	-	103,532.16	4
Special D panel report	-	-	-	-	-	-	(13,283,441.51)	426	-	-	(13,283,441.51)	426
E3(10) panel report	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	325,850.00	1	325,850.00	1
E4(3) panel report	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	536,513.00	3	536,513.00	3
Article 41(1) report	(5,500.00)	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(5,500.00)	10

<u>Report</u>	<u>Category A</u>		<u>Category B</u>		<u>Category C</u>		<u>Category D</u>		<u>Category E</u>		<u>Total</u>	
	<u>Net correction for category (USD)</u>	<u>Number of claims corrected</u>	<u>Net correction for category (USD)</u>	<u>Number of claims corrected</u>	<u>Net correction for category (USD)</u>	<u>Number of claims corrected</u>	<u>Net correction for category (USD)</u>	<u>Number of claims corrected</u>	<u>Net correction for category (USD)</u>	<u>Number of claims corrected</u>	<u>Net corrections for categories A, B, C, D and E (USD)</u>	<u>Number of claims corrected in categories A, B, C, D and E</u>
Article 41(2) report	(49,000.00)	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(49,000.00)	16
Article 41(3) report	1,500.00	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,500.00	4
Article 41(4) report	(83,000.00)	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(83,000.00)	19
Article 41(5) report	(18,500.00)	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(18,500.00)	5
Article 41(6) report	15,867,500.00	10,757	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15,867,500.00	10,757
Article 41(7) report	(6,975,500.00)	3,385	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(6,975,500.00)	3,385
Article 41(8) report	(7,806,000.00)	4,385	-	-	70,613,604.05	23,282	-	-	-	-	62,807,604.05	27,667
Article 41(9) report	(4,136,500.00)	1,062	-	-	5,278,142.15	1,730	-	-	-	-	1,141,642.15	2,792
Article 41(10) report	(1,446,000.00)	364	-	-	3,168,018.90	467	-	-	-	-	1,722,018.90	831
Article 41(11) report	(1,358,500.00)	370	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,358,500.00)	370
Article 41(12) report	(112,000.00)	26	-	-	613,498.37	40	-	-	-	-	501,498.37	66
Article 41(13) report	(55,500.00)	40	-	-	(102,863.22)	27	-	-	-	-	(158,363.22)	67
Article 41(14) report	(8,000.00)	31	-	-	5,580,355.48	625	103,532.16	4	-	-	5,675,887.64	660
Article 41(15) report	(10,500.00)	19	-	-	-	-	(57.66)	6	(7,264.37)	1	(17,822.03)	26
Article 41(16) report	142,000.00	73	-	-	453,162.71	54	-	-	-	-	595,162.71	127
Article 41(17) report	707,500.00	446	-	-	77,461.07	6	-	-	-	-	784,961.07	452

<u>Report</u>	<u>Category A</u>		<u>Category B</u>		<u>Category C</u>		<u>Category D</u>		<u>Category E</u>		<u>Total</u>	
	<u>Net correction for category (USD)</u>	<u>Number of claims corrected</u>	<u>Net correction for category (USD)</u>	<u>Number of claims corrected</u>	<u>Net correction for category (USD)</u>	<u>Number of claims corrected</u>	<u>Net correction for category (USD)</u>	<u>Number of claims corrected</u>	<u>Net correction for category (USD)</u>	<u>Number of claims corrected</u>	<u>Net corrections for categories A, B, C, D and E (USD)</u>	<u>Number of claims corrected in categories A, B, C, D and E</u>
Article 41(18) report	119,500.00	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	(43,413)	1	76,087	78
Article 41(19) report	154,000.00	55	-	-	46,976.14	6	400,986.95	6	-	-	601,963.09	67
Article 41(20) report	3,739,500.00	1,896			53,342.85	1					3,792,842.85	1,897
Article 41(21) report	1,157,500	688									1,157,500.00	688
Article 41(22) report	4,419,000.00	2,730									4,419,000.00	2,730
Article 41(23) report	44,500.00	20			161,331.14	15	12,411.60	1	(48,653.00)	7	169,589.74	43
Article 41(24) report	(3,911,000)	981			78,646.76	12	93,543.56	3			(3,738,809.68)	996
Article 41(25) report	(11,958,000)	3,002			1,033,956.47	617	(9,788)	1			(10,933,831.53)	3620
Total	(18,020,500.00)	33,036	97,500.00	13	87,049,205.87	26,952	(12,620,763.76)	471	763,032.63	13	57,268,474.74	60,485

^a Number of consolidated claim submissions, as conveyed in the panel report.

^b Number of consolidated claim submissions, as conveyed in the panel report.
